

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,423	12/23/2003	Sarah L. Bolt	604-704	9858
23117	7590 11/03/2005		EXAM	INER
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			DIBRINO, MARIANNE NMN	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203		LOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/743,423	BOLT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DiBrino Marianne	1644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	ne 2004.	•			
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	-				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
The state of the service of the food for the food for the food for the service of					
•					
Attachment(s)	🗖				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/743,423 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment filed 6/15/04 is acknowledged and has been entered.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-26, drawn to an aglycosylated IgG anti-CD3 antibody and pharmaceutical composition thereof, and method of making a medicament comprising the said antibody, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.1and Class 424, subclass 130.1.
- II. Claim 27, drawn to a method of treatment of a patient having cancer, said method comprising administering an aglycosylated IgG anti-CD3 antibody to said patient, classified in Class 424, subclass 143.1.
- III. Claim 27, drawn to a method of treatment of a patient requiring immunosuppression, said method comprising administering an aglycosylated IgG anti-CD3 antibody to said patient, classified in Class 424, subclass 144.1.
- IV. Claim 27, drawn to a method of treatment of a patient having cancer, said method comprising administering a ligand to said patient, classified in Class 514, subclass 2.
- V. Claim 27, drawn to a method of treatment of a patient requiring immunosuppression, said method comprising administering a ligand to said patient, classified in Class 514, subclass 2.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. 806.05(h)).

In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as immunopurification procedures or detection assays.

4. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. 806.05(h)).

In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as immunopurification procedures or detection assays.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,423

Art Unit: 1644

5. Inventions I and IV are not related as product and a method of use. The product of Invention I is an antibody and the method of Invention IV uses a ligand of an antibody. Therefore, they are novel and unobvious in view of each other and are patentably distinct.

- 6. Inventions I and V are not related as product and a method of use. The product of Invention I is an antibody and the method of Invention V uses a ligand of an antibody. Therefore, they are novel and unobvious in view of each other and are patentably distinct.
- 7. Inventions I-V are different methods.

These inventions require different ingredients, process steps and endpoints to accomplish the use of treating cancer presumably by increasing the immune response (Inventions II and IV) or treating a patient requiring immunosuppression (Inventions III and V) using an aglycosylated IgG anti-CD3 antibody or fragment thereof (Inventions III and III) or a ligand of an aglycosylated IgG anti-CD3 antibody or fragment thereof (Inventions IV and V). Invention I involves using an aglycosylated IgG anti-CD3 antibody to make a medicament.

Therefore they are patentably distinct.

8. The Examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/743,423 Page 4

Art Unit: 1644

of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the Examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any group from Groups I-V is not required for any other group from Groups I-V and Groups I-V have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. If Applicant elects the Invention of Group I, Applicant is further required to (1) elect a single disclosed species of aglycosylated IgG anti-CD3 antibody that is either monovalent or bivalent and monospecific or bispecific (a specific antibody comprised of a specific heavy chain and a specific light chain, for example, SEQ ID NO:11 and SEQ ID NO: 25, respectively) to which claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.

These species are distinct because their structures are different.

11. If Applicant elects the Invention of Group I or Group II, Applicant is further required to (1) elect a single disclosed species of aglycosylated IgG anti-CD3 antibody that is either monovalent or bivalent and monospecific or bispecific to be used in the claimed method (a specific antibody comprised of a specific heavy chain and a specific light chain, for example, SEQ ID NO:11 and SEQ ID NO: 25, respectively) to which claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.

These species are distinct because their structures are different.

12. If Applicant elects the Invention of Group III or Group IV, Applicant is further required to (1) elect a single disclosed species of ligand to be used in the claimed method (a specific ligand such as CD3) to which claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.

These species are distinct because their structures are different.

13. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,423

Art Unit: 1644

14. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 5

- 15. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).
- 16. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.
- 17. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 18. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(h).
- 19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Marianne DiBrino whose telephone number is 571-272-0842. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Christina Y. Chan, can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,423

Art Unit: 1644

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marianne DiBrino, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner Group 1640

Technology Center 1600

October 25, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600